

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/364,370	CHEUNG, TOM THUAN	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Andy Ho. (3) _____.

(2) Ruthleen E. Uy, Reg. No. 51,361. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 January 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 11 and 21

Prior art documents discussed:

6,710,786

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



WILLIAM THOMSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner advised the applicant that the claims are in condition for allowance with the condition of adding specific limitations into claims 1, 11, 21 and correcting 101 issue in claim 21. The applicant agreed with the suggestion and authorized the examiner to make the changes in an examiner's amendment.